

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date  
23 May 2002 (23.05.2002)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
**WO 02/39883 A2**

(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: **A61B**  
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US01/45301  
(22) International Filing Date:  
14 November 2001 (14.11.2001)  
(25) Filing Language: English  
(26) Publication Language: English  
(30) Priority Data:  
60/248,288 14 November 2000 (14.11.2000) US  
(71) Applicant: **TECHLAB, INC.** [US/US]; 1861 Pratt Drive,  
sUTTE 1030, Blacksburg, VA 24060-6364 (US).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

**Published:**

— without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(72) Inventors: **BOONE, James, Hunter**; 545 Arrowhead Trail, Christiansburg, VA 24073 (US). **LYERLY, David, Maxwell**; 204 MacArthur Avenue, Radford, VA 24141 (US). **WILKINS, Tracy, Dale**; 6254 Chestnut Ridge Road, Riner, VA 24149 (US). **GUERRANT, Richard, Littleton**; 2507 Northfields Road, Charlottesville, VA 22901 (US).

(74) Agents: **WILHELM, Tawni, L.** et al.; Shook, Hardy & Bacon L.L.P., One Kansas City Place, 1200 Main Street, Kansas City, MS 64105-2118 (US).

(54) Title: METHOD FOR DIFFERENTIATING IRRITABLE BOWEL SYNDROME FROM INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE (IBD) AND FOR MONITORING PERSONS WITH IBD USING TOTAL ENDOGENOUS LACTOFERRIN AS A MARKER

(57) Abstract: A method for aiding in differentiating irritable bowel syndrome from inflammatory bowel disease by determining the level of total endogenous human lactoferrin in clinical specimens, such as feces, mucus and bile, wherein an elevated level of lactoferrin substantially precludes diagnoses of IBS and other noninflammatory etiologies, and a kit usable in such method are provided. Further provided is a method for quantitating the level of total endogenous human lactoferrin in clinical specimens, such as feces, mucus and bile, to monitor gastrointestinal inflammation in persons having inflammatory bowel disease.



**WO 02/39883 A2**

- 1 -

**METHOD FOR DIFFERENTIATING IRRITABLE BOWEL  
SYNDROME FROM INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE (IBD)  
AND FOR MONITORING PERSONS WITH IBD USING  
TOTAL ENDOGENOUS LACTOFERRIN AS A MARKER**

5

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to the clinical differentiation and monitoring of gastrointestinal illnesses. More particularly, the present invention relates to a method for aiding in differentiating irritable bowel syndrome from inflammatory bowel disease by determining the level of total endogenous human lactoferrin in clinical specimens, such as feces, mucus and bile, wherein an elevated level of lactoferrin substantially precludes diagnoses of IBS and other noninflammatory etiologies, and a kit usable in such method. The present invention further relates to a method for quantitating the level of total endogenous human lactoferrin in clinical specimens, such as feces, mucus and bile, to monitor gastrointestinal inflammation in persons having inflammatory bowel disease.

Gastrointestinal illnesses are responsible for an extensive loss of life worldwide. For instance, diarrhea is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in developing countries with an estimated one billion cases of diarrheal diseases and five million deaths in children per year. In the United States, eight to twelve million people are treated each year for infectious diarrhea making up 2.5% of total hospitalizations and resulting in 10,000 deaths. Other gastrointestinal illnesses include inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). Annual evaluation for these disorders in the United States results in 1 and 3.5 physician visits, respectively. Symptoms of active IBS and those of active IBD are similar and, accordingly, the two diseases often present nearly identically. However, IBD can be a severe, life-threatening condition and thus quick, accurate differential diagnosis is extremely important.

IBD, comprised of both Crohn's Disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), is characterized by a chronic immune-mediated inflammatory response that results in histologic damage to the intestinal lining. Both CD and UC exhibit large numbers of leukocytes that migrate to the mucosa and into the intestinal lumen. Both diseases oscillate between active (i.e., presence of intestinal inflammation) and inactive (i.e., minimal to no intestinal inflammation) stages of disease activity. Active IBD can include

- 2 -

symptoms such as bloody diarrhea, abdominal pain, and fever. The inactive stage has minimal to no intestinal inflammation and lacks severe gastrointestinal illness.

Patients who have active IBD but who exhibit mild signs and symptoms may be difficult to distinguish from patients with active IBS, an intestinal disorder of motility and the intestinal nervous system. Unlike IBD, IBS does not involve intestinal inflammation. In persons with IBS, the intestine appears normal upon endoscopic examination and leukocytes are not present in the mucosa or in fecal specimens. Symptoms can mimic those of IBD and include bloating, diarrhea, constipation, and severe and often debilitating abdominal pain. It is estimated that at least 35 million Americans suffer from IBS.

The similarity in symptoms between IBS and IBD renders rapid diagnosis rather difficult. However, given the potential severity of untreated IBD, differential diagnosis is crucial. The diagnosis of gastrointestinal illnesses, in general, is aided by diagnostic tests such as enzyme-linked immunosorbant assays (ELISAs), latex agglutination and lateral flow immunoassay. These tests are rapid and inexpensive methods for detecting markers in feces for enteric pathogens and inflammation. One marker of particular interest that has been found to be most specific for leukocytes in fecal specimens is lactoferrin. Human lactoferrin is an 80 kilodalton glycoprotein. This iron-binding protein is secreted by most mucosal membranes. It is a major component of the secondary granules found in polymorphonuclear neutrophils (PMNs), a primary component of the acute inflammatory response. Other hematopoietic cells such as monocytes and lymphocytes, do not contain lactoferrin, whereas various bodily secretions contain levels in the mg/mL range. During the process of inflammation, PMNs infiltrate the mucosa lining of the small and large intestine. This increase in the number of activated tissue leukocytes and exudation of plasma from ulcerated mucosa results in an increase in the level of lactoferrin found in feces. The protein is resistant to proteolysis and, as such, it provides a useful non-invasive fecal marker of intestinal inflammation.

Human lactoferrin has been used as a marker for fecal leukocytes in a number of applications. For instance, fecal lactoferrin has been used as a marker for leukocytes to distinguish noninflammatory diarrhea from inflammatory diarrhea, as disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,124,252. Noninflammatory diarrhea caused by agents such as rotavirus, Norwalk-like agents and cholera, typically causes minimal to no

- 3 -

intestinal damage and patients respond readily to oral rehydration. Inflammatory diarrheas include those caused by enteric pathogens such as *Clostridium difficile*, *Shigella* species, *Salmonella* species, *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Entamoeba histolytica* and those that have no clearly defined infectious agent such as CD and UC. U.S. Patent  
5 No. 5,124,252 discloses an *in vitro* test for fecal leukocytes which aids in distinguishing inflammatory from noninflammatory diarrhea. The '252 patent discloses testing fecal samples suspected of containing leukocytes with an assay that utilizes an antibody for lactoferrin to determine the presence of leukocytes in the fecal sample.

Human lactoferrin also has been used as a marker for diagnosis of  
10 inflammatory gastrointestinal disorders, colon polyp and colorectal cancer as disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,552,292. However, neither the method of the '252 patent nor that of the '292 patent disclose utility in distinguishing IBS and IBD. The samples tested by the assay of the '252 patent are samples suspected of containing leukocytes. This suspicion is owed to the patient presenting with diarrhea. However, 25-50% of persons  
15 having IBD do not present with diarrhea and, thus, the '252 patent does not relate to diagnosing etiology in such patients. As for the '292 patent, the disclosed method utilizes a 1:100 sample dilution which does not allow for accurate quantitation of lactoferrin levels. Further, the '292 patent discloses using partial forms of molecules for testing and not total endogenous lactoferrin, again affecting the accuracy of the quantitation. The  
20 method of the '292 patent also does not relate to utilizing lactoferrin levels to distinguish between IBD and IBS. The population tested in the '292 patent, while including persons with UC and CD, did not include persons having IBS. Therefore, there remains a need in the diagnostic industry for a noninvasive method for differentially diagnosing IBD and IBS which utilizes human lactoferrin as a marker.

25 Given that lactoferrin has been shown to be a good marker for fecal leukocytes, tests have been developed to aid physicians in determining the presence of fecal lactoferrin. One such test is the LEUKO-TEST<sup>®</sup>, manufactured by TechLab, Inc. of Blacksburg, Virginia. The LEUKO-TEST<sup>®</sup> is a latex agglutination test for detecting fecal lactoferrin. It is noninvasive and demonstrates active intestinal inflammation thus  
30 providing physicians evaluating patients with diarrhea with important information concerning the severity of any underlying bacterial infection.

Even though the LEUKO-TEST<sup>®</sup> is useful for evaluating gastrointestinal illnesses, the latex agglutination format provides some limitations. In large hospitals

- 4 -

with a high volume of specimens, batching is preferred. A format such as ELISA is more useful for batching than latex agglutination and has the option of automation. It also may indicate severity of the disease and the effectiveness of medical treatments, by measuring the levels of fecal lactoferrin. In the case of IBD, a rise in fecal lactoferrin may provide  
5 an early indicator for active disease and the effects of medical treatments.

Currently, there are no known *in vitro* diagnostic aids to assist treating physicians, or other clinical personnel, in distinguishing between IBD and IBS. Accordingly, there remains a need for an *in vitro* diagnostic aid to assist treating physicians and other clinical personnel in distinguishing between these two commonly  
10 presenting diseases.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Accordingly, the present invention provides a non-invasive method for differentiating irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) from inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) wherein the presence of fecal lactoferrin is used as a detection marker for fecal  
15 leukocytes, elevated levels of which substantially preclude diagnoses of IBS and other noninflammatory etiologies, and a kit therefor. This rapid diagnosis then may be utilized by healthcare professionals to prescribe proper treatment. The present invention further provides immunoassays, e.g., enzyme-linked immunoassays (ELISAs), that utilize antibodies specific to human lactoferrin for the measurement of total endogenous  
20 lactoferrin in clinical specimens, such as human feces, mucus and bile, a kit usable in such immunoassays. Still further, the present invention provides to a method for quantitating the levels of lactoferrin from endogenous sources, particularly, infiltrating leukocytes, to monitor gastrointestinal inflammation in persons having IBD.

It has been shown that fecal lactoferrin has utility as a marker for  
25 distinguishing patients with IBD from those with less severe IBS. To aid physicians and other clinical personnel in utilizing this discovery, immunoassays are provided herein for detecting elevated levels of fecal lactoferrin and for quantitating fecal lactoferrin levels. Specifically, a qualitative enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is provided wherein polyclonal antibodies against total endogenous human lactoferrin are utilized to  
30 detect elevated levels of fecal lactoferrin. The qualitative assay of the present invention permits the screening of patients presenting with symptoms common between IBS and IBD. If elevated levels of fecal lactoferrin are detected, a diagnosis of IBS is substantially precluded. It will be understood and appreciated by those of skill in the art

- 5 -

that a qualitative immunoassay such as a lateral flow dipstick that utilizes both monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies to total endogenous lactoferrin also may be used to indicate the absence or presence of gastrointestinal inflammation. Such is contemplated to be within the scope hereof.

5           The qualitative assay of the present invention provides a test that is easy to use, simple to read, and accurate for distinguishing active IBD from active IBS. To substantiate equivalence of the ELISA to predicate devices, test results have been compared to microscopy results and to results from the latex agglutination test manufactured by TechLab, Inc. of Blacksburg, Virginia under the brand name LEUKO-  
10 TEST®. To this end, two studies were conducted involving a total of 166 fecal specimens. When compared with microscopy, the assay of the present invention presented a sensitivity of 80.0% in the first study and 94.1% in the second study. The assay further presented a specificity of 90.0% in the first study and 51.7% in the second study. In the same studies, when compared with the LEUKO-TEST®, sensitivity results  
15 were 90.5% in the first study and 89.6% in the second study. Specificity results were 86.4% in the first study and 57.5% in the second study.

For the evaluation of the qualitative assay of the present invention as a diagnostic aid for IBD and IBS patients, fecal samples from subjects having IBD were collected and the assay results were compared with those from healthy control subjects  
20 and subjects having clinically defined cases of IBS. The IBD group included subjects having both ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD). The fecal lactoferrin levels determined in these subjects were used to establish the preferred predictive optical density for the assay of 0.200 OD<sub>450</sub>. Results indicated that the assay was positive (i.e., an OD<sub>450</sub> greater than or equal to 0.200) for 86.0% of fecal specimens from subjects with  
25 active IBD and was consistently negative (i.e., an OD<sub>450</sub> less than 0.200) for specimens from subjects with active IBS and from healthy control subjects. ("OD<sub>450</sub>" as used herein indicates an optical density measured at 450 nm on a single wavelength spectrophotometer.)

In an additional clinical evaluation the qualitative assay of the present  
30 invention was compared to clinical assessments of IBD and active IBS subjects. In the IBD group, there were ninety-two subjects with active disease (fifty-one with active CD and forty-one with active UC) and fifty-seven with inactive disease. In the active group, a total of eighty subjects, or 87.0%, tested positive with the assay of the present

- 6 -

invention. In the inactive group, thirty-two, or 56.1%, tested positive. Of the fifty-one IBD subjects with active CD, forty-four, or 86.3%, tested positive. Of the forty-one IBD subjects with active UC, thirty-six, or 87.8%, tested positive with the assay. All thirty-one subjects, or 100%, with active IBS, and all fifty-six healthy control subjects, or  
5 100%, tested negative with the assay of the present invention.

Research findings thus support the use of the qualitative assay of the present invention as an *in vitro* diagnostic aid for detecting elevated levels of lactoferrin as a detection marker for fecal leukocytes and an indicator of inflammation. Other intestinal ailments, including many gastrointestinal infections and colorectal cancer, often  
10 result in elevated levels of lactoferrin in fecal specimens and these specimens likely will test positive with the assay of the present invention. Therefore, a diagnosis of active IBD cannot be established solely on the basis of a positive result with the assay of the present invention. However, a positive result with the assay of the present invention will permit the substantial preclusion of a diagnosis of IBS or other noninflammatory etiologies.

15 Also provided is a quantitative ELISA wherein polyclonal antibodies against total endogenous human lactoferrin are utilized to quantitative levels of gastrointestinal inflammation through comparison to a standard curve generated using purified human lactoferrin. These levels then may be utilized to monitor the effects of medical treatments in patients having IBD.

20 In the quantitative assay of the present invention, the level of total endogenous human lactoferrin in clinical specimens is determined through comparison to a standard curve generated using purified human lactoferrin and analyzed by linear regression. Research findings show that the level of fecal lactoferrin in persons having IBS was lower than the mean fecal lactoferrin level determined in healthy persons  
25 indicating the absence of gastrointestinal inflammation. However, the levels of fecal lactoferrin in IBD patients determined using the quantitative assay of the present invention were significantly higher than the mean fecal lactoferrin level of healthy persons. Thus, the quantitative assay of the present invention will permit the monitoring of patients having IBD as the levels of fecal lactoferrin may be determined over the  
30 course of medical treatments to determine whether or not the treatment is effective in decreasing or eliminating gastrointestinal inflammation.

Additional aspects of the invention, together with the advantages and novel features appurtenant thereto, will be set forth in part in the description which

- 7 -

follows, and in part will become apparent to those skilled in the art upon examination of the following, or may be learned from the practice of the invention. The aspects and advantages of the invention may be realized and attained by means, instrumentalities and combinations particularly pointed out in the appended claims.

5                    DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to diagnostic test methods for aiding in differentiating irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) from inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and for monitoring persons having IBD, and a kit usable in such methods. The particular embodiments described herein are intended in all respects to be illustrative rather than  
10 restrictive. Alternative embodiments will become apparent to those skilled in the art to which the invention pertains without departing from its scope.

The qualitative diagnostic test method of the present invention is an immunoassay for the detection of elevated levels of lactoferrin, a detection marker for fecal leukocytes, and an indicator of intestinal inflammation. The method can be used  
15 as an *in vitro* diagnostic aid to help identify patients with active IBD and rule out those with active IBS, which is noninflammatory. The lactoferrin specific immunoassays can be used to differentiate IBS from IBD by measuring the level of total endogenous lactoferrin. "Total endogenous lactoferrin," as that term is used herein, comprises lactoferrin derived from endogenous sources, particularly infiltrating leukocytes (i.e.,  
20 leukocytes, plasma, bile and mucosal secretions).

In the preferred embodiment, the qualitative immunoassay of the present invention is an enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA). The ELISA format provides the clinical laboratory with a simple-to-use test that is familiar to medical and clinical laboratory personnel. The test will aid a treating physician and other clinical personnel  
25 in distinguishing active IBD, which can become life-threatening and requires special treatment, from IBS, which is not life-threatening and which utilizes lifestyle modifications as therapy. The test is easy to perform, utilizing a one component substrate system and a total incubation time of seventy-five minutes. The qualitative assay of the present invention preferably utilizes a specimen dilution of 1:400 and optical densities  
30 of 0.200 OD<sub>450</sub> and 0.160 at OD<sub>450/620</sub>. It will be understood and appreciated by those of skill in the art that a qualitative immunoassay such as a lateral flow dipstick that utilizes both monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies to total endogenous lactoferrin also may be



- 8 -

used to indicate the absence or presence of gastrointestinal inflammation. Such is contemplated to be within the scope hereof.

The following are examples of procedures which have been utilized to establish the preferred qualitative and quantitative assays according to the present invention. The following examples are merely exemplary and not presented by way of limitation.

### 1. Qualitative Assay

#### a. Establishment of Optimal Sample Dilution Factor and Optical Density

The assay of the present invention was designed and developed to detect levels of fecal lactoferrin at a lower level detectable by predicate devices, specifically the LEUKO-TEST®. The lower limit of detection of the LEUKO-TEST® is 256 ng/mL with purified human lactoferrin. In the LEUKO-TEST®, a specimen dilution of 1:50 and a minimum limit of detection of 256 ng/mL provides a lower limit of detection in fecal specimens of approximately 12 µg/mL. A specimen dilution of 1:400 and a minimum detection limit for the assay of the present invention of 32 ng/mL also provides a lower limit of detection in fecal specimens of approximately 12 µg/mL. Accordingly, a 1:400 specimen dilution was chosen for the assay of the present invention. Similarly, an optical density of 0.200 OD<sub>450</sub> for the assay was chosen. (As used herein, OD<sub>450</sub> indicates an optical density obtained spectrophotometrically at 450 nm on a single wavelength spectrophotometer.)

It will be understood and appreciated by those of skill in the art that the preferred dilution factor and optical densities have been determined based upon reagents currently available and deemed to be optimal. However, reagents other than those now desired may become improved and desirable over time. Variations in reagents may produce preferable/optimal dilution factors and/or optical densities other than those determined herein. Such variations are contemplated to be within the scope of the present invention. The key to determining optimal values is based upon sensitivity as more fully described below.

To verify that the 1:400 specimen dilution provides the most desirable sensitivity with the current reagents, 121 fecal specimens were analyzed comparing a 1:400 dilution to a 1:800 dilution. (Sensitivity is calculated herein by dividing the number of samples taken from subjects with IBD which produce a positive result in the assay by the number of samples taken from subjects with IBD.) Test results additionally

- 9 -

were evaluated comparing OD<sub>450</sub> values of 0.200 to OD<sub>450</sub> values of 0.300. Results were compared with microscopy for fecal leukocytes and with the LEUKO-TEST®. The results are summarized in Tables I - VIII below.

5 **Table I: Comparison of the ELISA with microscopy for fecal leukocytes using a 1:400 dilution and an OD<sub>450</sub> of 0.200**

ELISA vs. microscopy (N=121)	Microscopy positive	Microscopy negative
ELISA positive	32	42
ELISA negative	2	45

10

Relative Sensitivity	94.0%
Relative Specificity	52.0%
Correlation	64.0%

15 **Table II: Comparison of the ELISA with microscopy for fecal leukocytes using a 1:400 dilution and an OD<sub>450</sub> of 0.300**

ELISA vs. microscopy (N=121)	Microscopy positive	Microscopy negative
ELISA positive	31	31
ELISA negative	3	56

20

Relative Sensitivity	91.0%
Relative Specificity	64.0%
Correlation	72.0%

- 10 -

**Table III: Comparison of the ELISA with microscopy for fecal leukocytes  
using a 1:800 dilution and an OD<sub>450</sub> of 0.200**

	<b>ELISA vs. microscopy (N=121)</b>	<b>Microscopy positive</b>	<b>Microscopy negative</b>
5	ELISA positive	30	31
	ELISA negative	4	56

Relative Sensitivity	88.0%
Relative Specificity	64.0%
Correlation	77.0%

10 **Table IV: Comparison of the ELISA with microscopy for fecal leukocytes  
using a 1:800 dilution and an OD<sub>450</sub> of 0.300**

	<b>ELISA vs. microscopy (N=121)</b>	<b>Microscopy positive</b>	<b>Microscopy negative</b>
	ELISA positive	26	24
15	ELISA negative	8	63

Relative Sensitivity	77.0%
Relative Specificity	72.0%
Correlation	74.0%

20 **Table V: Comparison of the ELISA with the LEUKO-TEST®  
using a 1:400 dilution and an OD<sub>450</sub> of 0.200**

	<b>ELISA vs. LEUKO- TEST® (N=121)</b>	<b>LEUKO-TEST® positive</b>	<b>LEUKO-TEST® negative</b>
	ELISA positive	43	31
25	ELISA negative	5	42

Relative Sensitivity	89.6%
Relative Specificity	57.5%
Correlation	70.2%

- 11 -

**Table VI: Comparison of the ELISA with the LEUKO-TEST®  
using a 1:400 dilution and an OD<sub>450</sub> of 0.300**

ELISA vs. LEUKO-TEST® (N=121)	LEUKO-TEST® positive	LEUKO-TEST® negative
ELISA positive	41	21
ELISA negative	7	52

Relative Sensitivity	85.0%
Relative Specificity	71.2%
Correlation	77.0%

**Table VII: Comparison of the ELISA with the LEUKO-TEST®  
using a 1:800 dilution and an OD<sub>450</sub> of 0.200**

ELISA vs. LEUKO-TEST® (N=121)	LEUKO-TEST® positive	LEUKO-TEST® negative
ELISA positive	39	22
ELISA negative	9	51

Relative Sensitivity	81.3%
Relative Specificity	69.9%
Correlation	74.4%

**Table VIII: Comparison of the ELISA with the LEUKO-TEST®  
using a 1:800 dilution and an OD<sub>450</sub> of 0.300**

ELISA vs. LEUKO-TEST® (N=121)	LEUKO-TEST® positive	LEUKO-TEST® negative
ELISA positive	34	16
ELISA negative	14	57

Relative Sensitivity	70.8%
Relative Specificity	78.1%
Correlation	75.2%

- 12 -

In summary, a fecal specimen dilution of 1:400 and an assay OD<sub>450</sub> of 0.200 showed the highest level of sensitivity with the current reagents. Accordingly, these conditions were determined to be optimal for the assay of the present invention. Normal fecal specimens contain low levels of lactoferrin and the 1:400 dilutions have  
5 been determined to be optimal in detecting an increase in lactoferrin over background levels. The use of dilutions lower than 1:400 may result in positive test results due to the presence of normal lactoferrin levels.

#### **b. Collection of Specimens and Preparation of Dilutions**

Standard collection and handling procedures typically used for fecal  
10 specimens for culture may be used in collecting samples for the assay of the present invention. In the preferred embodiment, fecal specimens are to be tested within twenty-four hours of collection. However, if the assay is not to be performed within forty-eight hours of collection, it is preferred that the specimens be stored at -20°C or lower. Additionally, it is preferred that collected specimens be transported and diluted in the  
15 Diluent as soon as possible after collection and, once diluted, that the specimens be stored at between about 2°C and about 8°C. It is preferred that the specimens be mixed (i.e., using a vortex mixer) thoroughly prior to performing the assay of the present invention. This includes complete mixing of the specimen prior to transfer to the Diluent, as more fully described below, as well as complete mixing of the diluted  
20 specimen prior to performing the assay.

The following method was used to prepare a diluted specimen from a liquid fecal specimen. Two plastic tubes were set up for each specimen to be tested. For each specimen, 950 µL of 1X Diluent (prepared as more fully described below) subsequently was added to each of the two tubes. Using a transfer pipette, one drop (i.e.,  
25 approximately 50 µL) of liquid fecal specimen was added to one of the tubes and thoroughly mixed using a vortex mixer. Subsequently, one drop of the diluted specimen was transferred into the second tube containing 950 µL of 1X Diluent (prepared as more fully described below). The result was a 1:400 dilution of the specimen in the second tube. Thus, only the second tube was used for the remainder of the test procedure.

30 The following method was used to prepare a diluted specimen from a formed or solid fecal specimen. Two plastic tubes were set up for each specimen to be tested. For each specimen, 1.9 mL of 1X Diluent (prepared as more fully described below) was added to only one of the two tubes. Subsequently, 0.10 g of fecal specimen

- 13 -

were added to this tube (1:10) and thoroughly mixed using a vortex mixer. Next, 950  $\mu$ L of the 1X Diluent (prepared as more fully described below) was added to the second tube and one drop (i.e., approximately 50  $\mu$ L) of the previously diluted specimen is transferred into the second tube. The result was a 1:400 dilution of the specimen in the second tube.

5 Thus, only the second tube was used for the remainder of the test procedure.

The specimen in the second tube prepared according to either of the above procedures was mixed in a vortex mixer for approximately ten seconds and subsequently stored at between about 2°C and about 8°C until the remainder of the test procedure was performed. Prior to transferring the diluted specimen into a microtiter well according to  
10 the test procedure, as more fully described below, the specimen was thoroughly mixed in the vortex mixer once again. This procedure sought to ensure thorough mixing of the specimen.

#### **c. Necessary Test Reagents and Preparation Thereof**

A number of reagents were necessary to carry out the preferred  
15 embodiment of the qualitative assay of the present invention. These reagents included 10X Diluent, 1X Diluent, Conjugate, Substrate, Positive Control, Wash Buffer Solution and Stop Solution. The 10X Diluent was a 10X concentrate of buffered protein solution containing 0.2% thimerosal as a preservative. The Diluent was supplied as a 10X concentrate. Therefore, to prepare the 1X Diluent necessary for the assay of the present  
20 invention, a total volume of 400 mL was diluted by adding 40 mL of the 10X concentrate to 360 mL of deionized water. Any unused 1X Diluent was stored at between about 2°C and about 8°C.

The Conjugate used with the assay of the present invention preferably comprises rabbit polyclonal antibody specific for human lactoferrin conjugated to  
25 horseradish peroxidase and in a buffered protein solution containing 0.02% thimerosal as a preservative. The Substrate used with the assay of the present invention preferably comprises a solution containing tetra-methyl-benzidine substrate and peroxidase. The Positive Control used with the assay of the present invention preferably comprises human lactoferrin in a buffered protein solution containing 0.02% thimerosal as a preservative.  
30 The Stop Solution used with the assay of the present invention preferably comprises 0.6 N sulfuric acid.

The Wash Buffer Solution used with the assay of the present invention was supplied as a 20X concentrate containing phosphate buffered saline, detergent and

- 14 -

0.2% thimerosal as a preservative. To prepare the 1X Wash Solution necessary for the assay of the present invention, a total volume of one liter of concentrate was diluted by adding 50 mL of the concentrate to 950 mL of deionized water. Any unused 1X Wash Solution was stored at between about 2°C and about 8°C.

5                   Microassay plates containing twelve strips and eight wells per strip are preferred for the assay of the present invention. Each specimen and each control requires a single coated well. To prepare the plates, each strip was coated with purified polyclonal antibody specific for lactoferrin. Microassay plates were stored with desiccant.

10                   All reagents were stored at room temperature prior to use in the assay of the present invention.

                  The present invention includes a kit designed and prepared for carrying out the quantitative assay. In the preferred embodiment, the kit contains 40 mL 10X Diluent, 7 mL Conjugate, 14 mL Substrate, 3.5 mL Positive Control, 50 mL Wash Buffer  
15   Solution, 7 mL Stop Solution and one microassay plate stored with desiccant. The assay of the present invention utilizes antibodies to human lactoferrin. The microassay plate supplied with the kit contains immobilized polyclonal antibody against lactoferrin. The detecting antibody consists of polyclonal antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase.

#### **d. Test Procedure**

20                   To perform the qualitative assay of the present invention, initially the number of wells needed was determined. Each specimen or control required one well and, therefore, the number of wells was determined accordingly. Next, one drop (i.e., about 50 µL) of Positive Control was added to a single well designated the Positive Control Well and one drop (i.e., about 50 µL) of 1X Diluent was added to a single well  
25   designated the Negative Control Well. Subsequently, two drops (i.e., about 100 µL) of 1:400 diluted specimen (prepared according to the above procedure) was added to a third well and all wells were incubated at about 37°C ( $\pm$  2°C) for approximately thirty minutes. After incubation, the contents of the assay wells was discarded into a discard pan.

30                   Next, each well was washed using 1X Wash Solution (prepared as described above) and placed in a squirt bottle with a fine-tipped nozzle. In this manner, the 1X Wash Solution was directed into the bottom of each of the wells with some force. Each well was filled with the 1X Wash Solution and the contents thereof subsequently

- 15 -

discarded into a discard pan. The microassay plate was then inverted and slapped on a dry paper towel. This wash procedure was performed a minimum of four times using a dry paper towel each time. If any particulate matter was observed in the wells, the washing procedure was continued until all the matter was removed.

- 5                      Subsequently, one drop (i.e., about 50  $\mu$ L) of Conjugate was added to each well and the wells were incubated at about 37°C ( $\pm 2^\circ$ C) for approximately thirty minutes. After incubation, the contents of the assay wells were discarded into a discard pan and the washing procedure was repeated. Next, two drops (i.e., about 100  $\mu$ L) of Substrate were added to each well and the wells were gently tapped to mix the contents.
- 10                     The wells were then incubated at room temperature for approximately fifteen minutes. The wells were gently tapped a couple of times during the incubation period.

- Next, one drop (i.e., 50  $\mu$ L) of Stop Solution was added to each well and the wells were gently tapped. The wells were allowed to sit at room temperature for about two minutes before reading. The addition of Stop Solution converted the blue
- 15                     color to a yellow color which could then be quantified by measuring the optical density at 450 nm on a microplate ELISA reader. The instrument was blanked against the negative control and the underside of each well was wiped before measuring the optical density. Optical densities ( $OD_{450}$  and  $OD_{450/620}$ ) were recorded for the Positive Control Well, the Negative Control Well and each specimen tested. (" $OD_{450/620}$ " as used herein
- 20                     indicates an optical density obtained spectrophotometrically at 450/620 nm on a dual wavelength spectrophotometer.) Readings of duplicate wells were averaged before the results were interpreted.

- The specified test procedure represents the preferred embodiment as optimal results are obtained by following the procedure specified because the reagents,
- 25                     concentrations, incubation conditions, and processing specifications have been optimized for sensitivity and specificity. Accordingly, alterations of the specified procedure and/or of the indicated test conditions may affect the sensitivity and specificity of the test.

#### **e. Quality Control**

- The positive and negative control must meet certain criteria for the test to
- 30                     be valid. First of all, the Positive Control Well must be a visible yellow color and, when read on a spectrophotometer, it must have an  $OD_{450}$  and  $OD_{450/620} > 0.500$ . The Negative Control Well must have an  $OD_{450} < 0.200$  or an  $OD_{450/620} < 0.160$ . To ensure that carryover



- 16 -

has not occurred, testing should be repeated if a sample gives a weak positive result (i.e.,  $<0.400$ ) and is adjacent to a strong positive well.

#### **f. Interpretation of Results**

Optical densities were measured at 450 nm on a single wavelength spectrophotometer and at 450/620 nm on a dual wavelength spectrophotometer. On a single wavelength spectrophotometer, an  $OD_{450}$  of less than 0.200 indicated a negative result and an  $OD_{450}$  of greater than or equal to 0.200 indicated a positive result. On a dual wavelength spectrophotometer, an  $OD_{450/620}$  of less than 0.160 indicated a negative result and an  $OD_{450/620}$  of greater than or equal to 0.160 indicated a positive result.

A positive test result indicated the specimen contained elevated levels of lactoferrin when compared with a reference value established for healthy control subjects. A negative test result indicated the specimen did not contain elevated levels of lactoferrin relative to samples from healthy control subjects.

#### **g. Results**

One hundred forty-nine subjects having IBD were tested according to the above procedure. Seventy-seven of the subjects, or 51.7%, were male and seventy-two of them, or 48.3%, were female. The tested male to female ratio closely approximates the 1:1 ratio observed in the general IBD patient population. Ages of the subjects ranged from 3 years to 78 years and thirty-two subjects, or 22%, were 16 years of age or younger. Seventy-seven subjects, or 51.7%, had CD and seventy-two of them, or 48.3% had UC.

Thirty-one subjects having IBS were tested. Six of the subjects, or 19.3%, were male and twenty-five of them, or 80.7%, were female. The tested male to female ratio closely approximates the 1:3 ratio observed in the general IBS population. Ages of the subjects ranged from 19 years to 78 years.

Fifty-six healthy subjects also were tested as controls. Twenty-eight of the subjects, or 50%, were male and twenty-eight of them, or 50%, were female. Ages of the subjects ranged from infants to 79 years. A summary of the tested subject population is illustrated in Table IX.

**Table IX: Summary of Subject Population**

	<b>Summary of Clinical Histories (N=180)</b>	<b>Total Subjects</b>
	Total number of IBD patients	149
5	No. Males	77
	No. Females	72
	Total number of patients with CD	77
	No. Males	43
	No. Females	34
10	Total number of patients with UC	72
	No. Males	34
	No. Females	38
	Total number of patients with irritable bowel syndrome	31
15	No. Males	6
	No. Females	25
	Total number of healthy persons	56
	No. Males	28
	No. Females	28

Fecal specimens were collected from each enrolled subject and stored at -70°C until tested. Sample consistencies ranged from liquid to solid, numbers for which are illustrated in Table X for each subject group. As can be seen, forty-five of the IBD specimens were liquid specimens, sixty-two were semi-solid specimens, and forty-two were solid specimens. One of the IBS specimens was a liquid specimen, thirteen were semi-solid specimens, and seventeen were solid specimens. All of the specimens from healthy control subjects were solid.

**Table X: Summary of Specimen Consistencies for Each Subject Group**

	<b>Summary of Stool Specimens (N=236)</b>	<b>Total Specimens</b>
	Total number of IBD patients (CD and UC)	149
5	Total number of liquid specimens	45
	Total number of semi-solid specimens	62
	Total number of solid specimens	42
	Total number of patients with IBS	31
	Total number of liquid specimens	1
10	Total number of semi-solid specimens	13
	Total number of solid specimens	17
	Total number of healthy persons	56
	Total number of liquid specimens	0
	Total number of semi-solid specimens	0
15	Total number of solid specimens	56

The level of fecal lactoferrin in each specimen was determined using the qualitative lactoferrin ELISA as previously described. A specimen dilution of 1:400 was used. Results were reported as positive if an optical density of greater than or equal to 0.200 was observed. Conversely, results were reported as negative if an optical density of less than 0.200 was observed.

Of the IBD subject group, ninety-two subjects had active disease and fifty-seven had inactive disease. Of the active group, a total of eighty subjects, or 87.0%, tested positive in the assay. Of the inactive group, a total of thirty-two subjects, or 56.1%, tested positive. Of the forty-one subjects having active UC, a total of thirty-six subjects, or 87.8% tested positive in the assay. Of the fifty-one subjects having active CD, forty-four, or 86.3%, tested positive. All thirty-one patients having active IBS and all fifty-six healthy control subjects tested negative in the assay. A summary of assay test results is illustrated in Table XI and various individual comparisons are illustrated in Tables XII, XIII and XIV, as more fully described below.

**Table XI: Summary of ELISA test Results for CD, UC, Active IBS, and Healthy Control Subjects**

5	<b>Clinical Assessments N =</b> <b>236</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>ELISA Positive</b>	<b>ELISA Negative</b>
	Total IBD	149	75.2% (112)	24.8% (37)
	Active	92	87.0% (80)	13.0% (12)
	Inactive	57	56.1% (32)	43.0% (25)
	Total CD	77	77.9% (60)	22.1% (17)
10	Active	56	86.3% (44)	13.7% (7)
	Inactive	26	61.5% (16)	38.5% (10)
	Total UC	72	72.2% (52)	27.7% (20)
	Active	41	87.8% (36)	12.2% (5)
	Inactive	31	51.6% (16)	48.4% (15)
15	Total Active IBS	31	0	100.0% (31)
	Total Healthy Persons	56	0	100.0% (56)

When distinguishing samples from active IBD subjects from subject samples having IBS or from healthy control samples, the ELISA exhibited a sensitivity of 87% and specificity of 100%. Sensitivity was calculated by dividing the number of persons having IBD and testing positive in the ELISA by the number of subjects having IBD. Specificity was calculated by dividing the number of subjects having IBD and testing positive in the ELISA by the number of subjects testing positive in the ELISA. The predictive positive and negative values were 100% and 87.9%, respectively, and the correlation was 93.3%. These results are summarized in Table XII.

- 20 -

**Table XII: Statistical Evaluation using the ELISA to Distinguish Active IBD from IBS/Healthy Control Subjects**

	N=179	Active IBD	IBS/Healthy Controls
5	ELISA positive	80	0
	ELISA negative	12	87

	Sensitivity	87.0%
	Specificity	100%
	Predictive Positive Value	100%
	Predictive Negative Value	87.9%
10	Correlation	93.3%

When distinguishing samples from active UC subjects from subject samples having IBS or from healthy control subjects, the ELISA exhibited a sensitivity of 87.8% and a specificity of 100%. The predictive positive and negative values were 100% and 94.6%, respectively, and the correlation was 96.1%. These results are summarized in Table XIII.

**Table XIII: Statistical Evaluation using the ELISA to Distinguish Active UC from IBS/Healthy Control Subjects**

	N=128	Active UC	IBS/Healthy Controls
20	ELISA positive	36	0
	ELISA negative	5	87

	Sensitivity	87.8%
	Specificity	100%
	Predictive Positive Value	100%
	Predictive Negative Value	94.6%
25	Correlation	96.1%

When distinguishing subject samples having active CD from subject samples having IBS or from healthy control samples, the ELISA exhibited a sensitivity of 86.3% and a specificity of 100%. The predictive positive and negative values were

- 21 -

100% and 92.6%, respectively, and the correlation was 94.9%. These results are summarized in Table XIV.

**Table XIV: Statistical Evaluation using the ELISA to Distinguish  
Active CD from IBS/Healthy Control Subjects**

5	<b>N=138</b>	<b>Active UC</b>	<b>IBS/Healthy Controls</b>
	ELISA positive	44	0
	ELISA negative	7	87

	Sensitivity	86.3%
	Specificity	100%
10	Predictive Positive Value	100%
	Predictive Negative Value	92.6%
	Correlation	94.9%

#### **h. Reproducibility and Precision**

The inter-assay variation was determined by analyzing eight lactoferrin-negative and eight lactoferrin-positive fecal specimens over a three day period. The average % Coefficient of Variation (CV) was 23.5% for the positive specimens and 7.4% for the negative specimens. The intra-assay variation was determined by analyzing twelve fecal specimens using six replicates in one lot of kits. The intra-assay analysis ranged in %CV from 2.7 to 24.0 with an average of 8.7%.

20

#### **2. Quantitative Assay**

In the quantitative assay of the present invention, fecal specimens preferably are serially diluted ten-fold and added to microtiter wells containing immobilized polyclonal antibodies against human lactoferrin. If endogenous lactoferrin is present, it will bind to the antibodies during an incubation at approximately 37°C. Following the incubation, conjugate comprised of polyclonal antibodies coupled to horseradish peroxidase enzyme is added and allowed to bind to captured lactoferrin. Unbound conjugate is then washed from the well and a component substrate (e.g., tetramethyl-benzidine and hydrogen peroxide) is added for color development. Following the substrate incubation, 0.6N sulfuric acid is added to quench the reaction and the absorbance or optical density (OD) is obtained spectrophotometrically at 450 nm on a

30

- 22 -

single wavelength device. Fecal lactoferrin concentrations are determined by comparison to a standard curve generated using purified human lactoferrin.

**a. Preparation of Standard Curve**

A 1 mg/mL stock solution of purified human lactoferrin, manufactured  
5 by Sigma Immunochemicals of St. Louis, Missouri, was prepared using 10 mg of  
lactoferrin dissolved in 10 mL of sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at a pH of 7.4.  
Serial two-fold dilutions of lactoferrin were made using the range of approximately 6 to  
100 ng/mL in Diluent. For the analysis, 0.1 mL of each standard was assayed in  
duplicate. Optical densities ( $OD_{450}$ ) were determined and plotted versus lactoferrin  
10 concentration to generate standard curves. The linear portion of the curve was  
determined by linear regression analysis using the Log-Log method (Microsoft EXCEL,  
Microsoft R Office). The lowest dilution of specimen that gave an  $OD_{450}$  within the  
linear portion of the curve was used to determine the lactoferrin concentration. The final  
concentration was obtained by multiplying the concentration by the dilution factor.

15 **b. Quantitative Test Procedure**

In order to assess the ability of the quantitative ELISA to measure the  
level of fecal lactoferrin, two fecal specimens collected six weeks apart from six female  
and five male adults were diluted and then spiked with lactoferrin to a concentration of  
25 ng/mL. The "Estimated Lactoferrin" that was determined represents the level of  
20 lactoferrin determined from a standard curve generated with the quantitative ELISA. The  
% Variation represents the difference between the "Actual" amount used to spike the  
sample and the "Estimated" amount. Under these conditions, the variations ranged from  
1.0% to 85.8% for females and 8.8% to 47.0% for males. Results showed a higher  
percent variation in female adults as compared to male adults. The stool samples that  
25 showed a higher variation had higher levels of lactoferrin prior to spiking. The results  
are illustrated in Tables XV and XVI below.

**Table XV. Stool samples of female adult subjects spiked to a final concentration of 25 ng/mL**

	Patient ID #	Actual Lactoferrin (ng/mL)	Estimated Lactoferrin (ng/mL)	Variation (%)
5	1	25	15.4	38.4
	2	25	22.9	8.5
	3	25	21.8	12.7
	4	25	28.4	13.5
	5	25	16.2	35.3
10	6	25	15.8	37.0
	7	25	35.5	41.8
	8	25	46.5	85.8
	9	25	27.7	10.8
	10	25	32.3	29.1
15	11	25	26.1	4.3
	12	25	25.3	1.0

**Table XVI. Stool samples of male adult subjects spiked to a final concentration of 25 ng/mL**

	Patient ID #	Actual Lactoferrin (ng/mL)	Estimated Lactoferrin (ng/mL)	Variation (%)
20	1	25	21.9	12.4
	2	25	21.2	15.0
	3	25	20.9	16.3
	4	25	21.4	14.4
25	5	25	20.8	16.8
	6	25	22.8	8.8
	7	25	28.9	15.5
	8	25	29.4	17.4
	9	25	36.7	47.0
30	10	25	19.5	21.9

A second method for spiking was using the same two stool specimens collected six weeks apart from six female and five male adults were diluted and spiked with lactoferrin to a concentration of 4  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ . The "Estimated Lactoferrin" represents the level of lactoferrin determined from a standard curve generated by the quantitative ELISA. The % Variation represents the difference between the "Actual" amount used to spike the sample and the "Estimated" value. Under these conditions, the variation ranged from 11.3% to 84.9% for females and from 5.0% to 39.2% for males. Results were



similar to those obtained with specimens spiked with 25 ng/mL lactoferrin as described above, showing a higher percent variation in female adults compared to male adults. The results are illustrated in Tables XVII and XVIII below.

**Table XVII. Stool samples of female adult subjects spiked to a final concentration of 4 µg/mL**

Patient ID #	Actual Lactoferrin (µg/mL)	Estimated Lactoferrin (µg/mL)	Variation (%)
1	4	4.5	11.3
2	4	4.6	15.3
3	4	5.3	33.4
4	4	4.9	21.4
5	4	3.5	11.5
6	4	3.4	14.7
7	4	5.3	32.7
8	4	6.7	67.6
9	4	5.5	38.6
10	4	5.8	44.9
11	4	5.8	43.9
12	4	7.4	84.9

**Table XVIII. Stool samples of male adult subjects spiked to a final concentration of 4 µg/mL**

Patient ID #	Actual Lactoferrin (µg/mL)	Estimated Lactoferrin (µg/mL)	Variation (%)
1	4	4.7	17.5
2	4	4.6	14.4
3	4	4.2	5.0
4	4	5.6	39.2
5	4	4.2	5.9
6	4	4.7	18.5
7	4	4.7	16.5
8	4	5.5	37.9
9	4	5.3	33.6
10	4	4.3	6.6

### 3. Monitoring Using the Quantitative ELISA

The quantitative ELISA of the present invention was used to follow the lactoferrin levels of single patient suffering from ulcerative colitis during a "flare" of active disease through remission. The patient showed extremely high levels of lactoferrin

- 25 -

(e.g., 9749.37 µg/mL feces) during the peak of the active disease, the levels dropping rapidly (e.g., to 7.42 µg/mL feces) following anti-inflammatory drug therapy. Levels elevated dramatically again during a relapse and leveled at slightly above those of healthy control persons (e.g., 11.06 µg/mL feces) during periods of remission. Thus, lactoferrin  
5 levels determined according to the quantitative ELISA of the present invention accurately depicted disease activity in response to medical treatment.

In summary, the present invention is directed to non-invasive methods for differentiating between irritable bowel syndrome and inflammatory bowel disease using the presence of fecal lactoferrin as a detection marker for fecal leukocytes, and a kit used  
10 for such method. The present invention is further directed to immunoassays that utilize antibodies specific to human lactoferrin for the measurement of total endogenous lactoferrin in human feces. Still further, the present invention is directed to a quantitative immunoassay for monitoring the levels of fecal lactoferrin in a patient having IBD.

The immunoassays of the present invention are sensitive, specific and easy  
15 to perform. The assays detect lactoferrin, a stable protein that serves as a detection marker for fecal leukocytes and an indicator of intestinal inflammation, and quantitate fecal lactoferrin levels for monitoring patients having IBD. The tests are rapid and can be completed within about seventy-five minutes. Research results support the use of the qualitative ELISA as an *in vitro* diagnostic aid to help distinguish active IBD patients  
20 from those with active IBS. Research results further support the use of the quantitative ELISA for monitoring levels of fecal lactoferrin in patients having inflammatory diseases. The present invention has been described in relation to particular embodiments which are intended in all respects to be illustrative rather than restrictive. Alternative embodiments will become apparent to those skilled in the art to which the present  
25 invention pertains without departing from its scope.

From the foregoing, it will be seen that this invention is one well adapted to attain all the ends and objects herein above set forth together with other advantages which are obvious and which are inherent to the method. It will be understood that certain features and subcombinations are of utility and may be employed without  
30 reference to other features and subcombinations. This is contemplated by and is within the scope of the claims.

- 26 -

### CLAIMS

Having thus described the invention, what is claimed is:

1. A method for distinguishing irritable bowel syndrome from inflammatory bowel disease, the method comprising: obtaining a fecal sample from a  
5 person to be diagnosed; and determining whether said sample contains an elevated level of endogenous lactoferrin, wherein if said sample does contain an elevated level of endogenous lactoferrin, diagnoses of irritable bowel syndrome and other noninflammatory etiologies are substantially precluded.
2. The method as recited in claim 1, further comprising diluting said  
10 fecal sample.
3. The method as recited in claim 2, wherein said step of diluting said fecal sample comprises diluting said sample to a 1:400 dilution factor.
4. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein said endogenous lactoferrin comprises total lactoferrin from one or more of plasma, bile, leukocytes and  
15 mucosal secretions.
5. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein said endogenous lactoferrin is qualitatively determined.
6. The method as recited in claim 1, wherein said step of determining whether said sample contains an elevated level of endogenous lactoferrin includes  
20 contacting said sample with immobilized polyclonal antibodies to human lactoferrin to create a treated sample.
7. The method as recited in claim 6, wherein said step of determining whether said sample contains an elevated level of endogenous lactoferrin further includes contacting said treated sample with enzyme-linked polyclonal antibodies to create a  
25 readable sample.

- 27 -

8. The method as recited in claim 7, wherein said step of determining whether said sample contains an elevated level of endogenous lactoferrin further includes determining an optical density of said readable sample at 450 nm, wherein said optical density corresponds to a level of endogenous lactoferrin in the sample.

5 9. The method as recited in claim 8, wherein if said optical density of said readable sample is greater than 0.200, said fecal sample contains an elevated level of endogenous lactoferrin.

10 10. An assay for determining the concentration of endogenous lactoferrin, said assay comprising: obtaining a human fecal sample; diluting said fecal sample; contacting said sample with immobilized polyclonal antibodies to endogenous lactoferrin to create a treated sample; contacting said treated sample with enzyme-linked polyclonal antibodies to create a readable sample; determining the optical density of said readable sample at 450 nm; generating a purified lactoferrin standard curve; and comparing said optical density of said readable sample to said standard curve to  
15 determine the concentration of endogenous lactoferrin in said fecal sample.

11. The assay as recited in claim 10, wherein said step of diluting said fecal sample comprises diluting said sample by serial ten-fold dilutions.

20 12. A diagnostic assay for differentiating irritable bowel syndrome from inflammatory bowel disease by determining the level of endogenous lactoferrin, said assay comprising: obtaining a human fecal sample; diluting said sample; contacting said sample with immobilized polyclonal antibodies to endogenous lactoferrin to create a treated sample; contacting said treated sample with enzyme-linked polyclonal antibodies to create a readable sample; and determining the optical density of said readable sample at 450 nm to determine whether said readable sample contains an  
25 elevated level of endogenous lactoferrin as compared to a reference value for healthy control subjects.

- 28 -

13. The diagnostic assay as recited in claim 12, wherein if said readable sample contains an elevated level of endogenous lactoferrin, a diagnosis of irritable bowel syndrome is substantially precluded.

14. The diagnostic assay as recited in claim 13, wherein if said optical  
5 density of said readable sample is greater than or equal to 0.200, said fecal sample contains an elevated level of endogenous lactoferrin as compared to a reference value for healthy control subjects.

15. The diagnostic assay as recited in claim 12, wherein said assay comprises an enzyme-linked immunoassay.

10 16. The diagnostic assay as recited in claim 12, wherein said endogenous lactoferrin comprises total lactoferrin from one or more of plasma, bile, leukocytes, and mucosal secretions.

17. A kit for distinguishing irritable bowel syndrome from inflammatory bowel disease by testing a fecal sample from a person to be diagnosed, the  
15 kit comprising: one or more microassay plates, each said plate containing immobilized polyclonal antibodies to human lactoferrin; enzyme-linked polyclonal antibody to human lactoferrin; and enzyme substrate for color development.

18. The kit as recited in claim 17, further comprising purified human lactoferrin as a positive control.

20 19. The kit as recited in claim 18, further comprising a stop solution for quenching the reaction.

- 29 -

20. A method for monitoring a patient having inflammatory bowel disease, the method comprising: obtaining a first fecal sample from the inflammatory bowel disease patient at a first time; determining the concentration of endogenous lactoferrin in said first fecal sample to obtain a first lactoferrin concentration; obtaining  
5 a second fecal sample from the inflammatory bowel disease patient at a second time later than said first time; determining the concentration of endogenous lactoferrin in said second sample to obtain a second lactoferrin concentration; and comparing said first lactoferrin concentration to said second lactoferrin concentration to evaluate any differences therebetween.